Change stories:

1. Disaster Law – Gabby
2. Regional Partnerships – Mark
3. Regional Gender and Diversity – Chrissy
4. ASEAN Partnership – Hervé
5. ASEAN Safe School Initiative (ASSI) - Nadia
RRI Baseline and Endline Findings
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Introduction

1. Reconstruct and validate baseline data for outcome indicators.
2. Collect end-line data using the same methodologies as the baseline.
3. Gather qualitative information on progress towards outcomes through a collection of change stories.
Outcomes

1. Increase capacity of National Societies to promote community disaster risk reduction issues at a national level.
2. Increase integration of gender equality into national and regional disaster risk reduction policies and programmes.
3. Increase cooperation and build partnerships between the Red Cross Red Crescent, ASEAN and other regional bodies.
Method

- Extensive desk review.
- Replication and validation of baseline.
- Four (4) online surveys.
- Structured and semi-structured interviews
- 56 key informant contributions.
- Case study methodology (16 cases developed).
- Endline creation.

Higher weighting/effort afforded to Gender & Diversity and collaboration with ASEAN achievements.
Online surveys

42 survey requests – 36 responses.

2. NDMO Focal Point – 6/10
4. IFRC – 10/10
Change stories

1. Disaster Law – Regional perspective
2. Disaster Law – Lao PDR focus with UNDP
3. Disaster Law – Cambodia focus with UNDP
4. Disaster Law – Myanmar
5. Regional partnerships
6. National Society contributions to the Comprehensive School Safety Framework
Change stories

7. Gender & Diversity – Regional perspective/G&D Network
8. Gender & Diversity - Philippines
9. Gender & Diversity - Vietnam
10. Gender & Diversity - Indonesia
11. Gender & Diversity – Malaysia
12. Gender & Diversity – Lao PDR
Change stories

13. ASEAN Partnership and cooperation
14. ASEAN Schools Safety Initiative (ASSI)
15. Supporting ASEAN Climate Change efforts through National Societies
16. IFRC’s investment in the Asian Ministerial Conferences on DRR (AMCDRR) 2014/2016
Outcome: Increased capacity of SEA Red Cross National Societies

Indicator 1: Level of DRR advocacy knowledge and skills

RRI Trainings: pre-test/post-test comparison

- **Climate Change**
  - Pre-test: 146 (53 F/93 M)
  - Post-test: 57 (26 F/31 M)

- **ACE Programme**
  - Pre-test: 612 (386 F/226 M)
  - Post-test: 815 (465 F/350 M)

- **Gender and Diversity**
  - Pre-test: 146 (53 F/93 M)
  - Post-test: 57 (26 F/31 M)

- **Averaged across trainings**
  - Pre-test: 815 (465 F/350 M)
  - Post-test: 57 (26 F/31 M)
Outcome: Increased capacity of SEA Red Cross National Societies

Indicator 1: Level of DRR advocacy knowledge and skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Type</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender and Diversity</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE Programme</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Outcome: Improved representation of community DRR issues**

Indicator 1: Level of RCRC contribution into national DRR policy, plans and programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>2014 Baseline</th>
<th>2017 Endline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  Response is part of the NS strategic plan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  NS has an active NDRT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  NS role is clearly identified by other humanitarian actors in the country</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  NS in invited in NDMC meetings</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  NS role in response clearly mentioned in Law/Regulation/Policy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  DRR part of NS strategic plan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  DRR unit established at NHQ level</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  NS is active in national coordination mechanism on DRR/national platform</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  NS is mentioned in national framework on DRR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 NS receives government funding to implement DRR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 DL awareness engagement in-country or through participation in regional events</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 DL training or research implemented in country</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 DL advocacy conducted with or without IFRC support</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 NS influence of laws/regulation/policy development</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>92</strong></td>
<td><strong>108</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome: Increased integration of gender equality

Indicator 2: # of gender DRR interventions led by RRI

Total G&D DRR interventions by category

- G&D Training: 32
- Technical support to NS: 26
- Technical support to external: 8
Outcome: Increased integration of gender equality

Indicator 2: # of gender DRR interventions led by RRI

G&D DRR interventions by year

- G&D Trainings
- Technical support to NS
- Technical support to external

2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017
Outcome: Increased cooperation and build partnerships

Indicator 1: Evidence of effectiveness of Southeast Asia DRR cooperation

SEA DRR Cooperation activities

- Coordination meetings
- ASEAN engagements
- Regional partner activities
- Response activities
- Exchanges

Comparison between 2014 and 2017
External Partner Perspectives on RRI DRR cooperation

Indicator 1: Evidence of effectiveness of Southeast Asia DRR cooperation

- Increase in IFRC partners reporting regular and satisfactory coordination meetings within RCRC in the region.

- Improved clarity of partnership objectives.

- Greater collective ability to demonstrate or document the outcomes of joint DRR work.

- Heightened awareness of IFRC’s DRR work in ASEAN countries.

- Improvement in the way IFRC actively shares DRR-related information with partners.
External Partner Perspectives on RRI DRR cooperation

Indicator 1: Evidence of effectiveness of Southeast Asia DRR cooperation

• Increase in organisations engaging in joint DRR activities with IFRC.

• Increase in working together on advocacy issues related to DRR, gender and resilience.

• Increased satisfaction with the way in which IFRC engaged with and attempted to influence Southeast Asian DRR policy.

• Increased perception of IFRC implementing DRR projects in ASEAN countries that more strongly reflected the priorities of its partners.

• IFRC contributed to reducing vulnerability to natural disasters for vulnerable communities in Southeast Asia.
External Partner Perspectives on RRI DRR cooperation

Indicator 1: Evidence of effectiveness of Southeast Asia DRR cooperation

• Improved IFRC contribution to representation of ASEAN country community issues in national policies, laws, plans and programmes.

• IFRC’s increased effectiveness of Southeast Asian regional DRR cooperation mechanisms that addressed the needs of vulnerable communities in Southeast Asia.

• IFRC’s continuous improvement in increasing integration of gender equality into national and regional DRR policies and programmes.
**Outcome: Increased DRR cooperation between partners**

**Indicator 2: Enhanced regional RCRC partnerships with DRR organisations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnership dimension</th>
<th>2014 rating %</th>
<th>2017 rating %</th>
<th>Difference +/- %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objectives of partnership clearly defined</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership demonstrates or documents outcomes of its collective DRR work</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRC actively shared DRR-related information with partner</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner engaged with the IFRC in joint DRR activities</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner satisfied with IFRC's engagement/influence on Southeast Asian DRR policy matters/issues</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner engaged in joint advocacy related to DRR, Gender and/or Resilience issues with the IFRC</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome: Increased DRR cooperation between partners

Indicator 2: Enhanced regional RCRC partnerships with DRR organisations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnership dimension</th>
<th>2014 rating %</th>
<th>2017 rating %</th>
<th>Difference +/- %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFRC implemented DRR projects in ASEAN countries that reflected partner priorities</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRC contributes to reducing vulnerability to natural disasters for vulnerable communities in Southeast Asia, with an emphasis on women, boys and girls</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRC contributes to improved representation of ASEAN country community issues in national policies, laws, plans, and programs</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRC contributes to increased effectiveness of Southeast Asian regional DRR cooperation mechanisms that addressed the needs of vulnerable communities with an emphasis on women, boys &amp; girls</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome: Increased DRR cooperation between partners

Indicator 2: Enhanced regional RCRC partnerships with DRR organisations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnership dimension</th>
<th>2014 rating %</th>
<th>2017 rating %</th>
<th>Difference +/- %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFRC contributes to increased integration of gender equality into national and regional DRR policies and programs</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRC contributes to increased DRR cooperation between the Association of SEA Nations’ (ASEAN) Committee on Disaster Management (ACDM) and other regional organizations</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity of Southeast Asian RCRC National Societies to promote community DRR issues at national level improved</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29% overall improvement rating between 2014 and 2017
Outcome: Increased DRR cooperation between partners

Indicator 2: Enhanced regional RCRC partnerships with DRR organisations

Partnership dimension comparison 2014-2017