**Minutes**

**4th SEAYN Online Meeting**

06 February 2014, 14.30 - 16.30

*Attendees:*

1. Haji Suhaimi, Brunei RC
2. Dr. Lilis Wijaya, PMI/Indonesian RC
3. Vidiana Xareal, CVTL/Timor Leste RC
4. LAK Mony Rasmey, IFRC Cambodia (Minute taker)
5. Ahmad Husein, IFRC Indonesia
6. Florensia Malau, IFRC Indonesia
7. Kumju Ho, IFRC SEA Regional Office(Moderator)
8. Taro Kita, IFRC Timor Leste
9. Rika Uneo, IFRC Zone Office

\**Cambodian RC team apologies (could not access internet from the field)*

Objectives of the Meeting: Bi- monthly online meeting

Attachment: Agenda, presentations

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Items** | **Presenter** | **Follow up** |
| 1 | Welcome and opening remarks * IFRC Indonesia on behalf of PMI made an opening speech by welcoming all participants.

(PMI team supposed to open a meeting, but been late to participate due to sudden field mission)* IFRC SEA Regional Office briefed the agenda of the meeting

1) NSs Youth Information2) Project updates3) Interesting Theme (<Engagement and involvement>) * Brunei RC was specially welcomed since they attended the first time since the beginning of SEAYN online meeting.
 | AhmadKumju |  |
| 2 | NS Youth information and Project updates( Annex; Presentation) 1. *Timor Leste Youth Programme Introduction*
* Youth Department officially established in 12 August 2008 and 2 paid staffs (Youth Coordinator & deputy Coordinator), 1 youth president as the representative in the board.
* CVTL have two categories of Youth Members: Junior (12-17) Senior (18-25) in constitution and two types of Youth Volunteer Unit; Branch based volunteers and School based volunteers
* Youth club activities carry on in schools and include disseminations and trainings on Fundamental principles and humanitarian values, Healthy Youth Training, Friendly Matches Sport Activity, Music Performance incorporate to Red Cross Message, Fundraising, Exchange Youth Activity to the Districts, Clean up activity, Road Safety Campaign.
 | Vidiana Xareal, CVTL |  |
| Q/A | What is the difference between school based volunteer and branch based volunteer? * School based volunteers; only students at school
* Branch based volunteers; youth who are interesting in RC and stay around the branch office. Youth club member at school can’t join in branch team.

Is CVTL youth in Governing board?* One youth representative in Governing board.
 | Suhaimi, BRCRasmey, IFRC Cam |  |
|  | 1. *Project update 2; Improve school RC Youth in CVTL(successes and challenges)*
* Youth Club started in School from 2012 and 2 clubs in Oecuse District and 2 in Dili are running
* The School RC Youth (12-18) programme includes dissemination of Fundamental principles and humanitarian values, Disaster Preparedness, First aid and Healthy Youth Training, finally CBHFA.

Successes from school RC youth programme;1. School youth gained more knowledge and skills on Red Cross activities
2. CVTL gained better support from the Ministry of Education

 Challenges and Reasons1. CVTL feels very difficult to retain volunteer facilitators in the branches

; leave RC for better future, or better job; getting poor interests1. CVTL still have a problem in coordination with other program departments in School programme

; time management with different department; overwhelmed activities1. Translation of school curriculum modules

; Not all modules were not translated into one local language due to multi-language use in Timor Leste (Portuguese, Tetun, Indonesia).Recommendations through discussion among members1. It is similar case in Indonesia as well. It is better to create a holistic network embracing all students, branch youth and club youths. Let them connect and carry joint activities through one network and share and learn through volunteer facilitators. It will enhance youth programme and it will give a worth and pride to facilitators.
2. It is better to keep the volunteer facilitators busy and let them continue trainings on school and branch youth. It will increase their capacity and they can find youth programme in their activities. It will attract their attention to remain longer
3. Maintain the relationship between branch, HQ and facilitators and give them opportunities to attend in RC events
4. Regarding coordination, it is better to hold a regular joint meeting with programme people in different departments by providing schedule and tracking when the time available.
5. Ask commitment from all department to allocate their time for joint meeting. Intervention of senior management/board member, if necessary.
6. Most of CVTL challenges are common in other NSs. It is better to keep discussing on in the another meetings
 | Vidi, CVTLAll members | Vidi |
| 3 | Interesting theme: <*Engagement and involvement>** Brief review was made and presented how network members are engaged in the network activities.
* Network held three network online meetings and five coordination team meetings from July 2013- January 2014. This is 4th online meeting.

Statistics summary extracted from the presentation.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Meeting | Attended NSs and number of pp  | % | IFRC S. member | total |
| 1ST | CRC,LRC,PRC,TRC,CVTL(8 pp) | 40% | CRC, KL, BKK | 11 |
| 2ND\* (8 Youth leaders meeting only) | CRC, LRC, MalRC(4 pp)  | 50% | BKK | 5 |
| 3RD  | MalRC,PRC,CVTL,TRC(5 pp) | 25% | CVTL, PMI, BKK | 8 |

* Absent NSs and possible challenges for not joining in the meeting reviewed.

**MyRC**--- Internet access problem **SRC**------No Interest, no nomination for network members**BRC**------No reason? Just because of volunteer system?**PMI** ------Very busy?**VNRC**----Transition in structureAnother challenge is that **IFRC Myanmar, Vietnam and Philippines** as supporting members are not yet motivated to join in the network meeting. Discussions went on for below questions* How do you feel about the meeting?
* What are the main challenges not to join?
* Any suggestions for improvement in the meetings?
* Any recommendations for motivation of members?

Feedback/recommendation about SEAYN online meeting* Feedback
* The meeting is useful and helpful to their personal development and their programme development. It gives an opportunity to all members to share information and good practices, discuss challenges, find out possible solutions and facilitate good relationships between NS members.
* It will give more progress to the NSs if all members take part in discussions actively.
* Almost 50% of members join in the meetings. It means a good sign of interest and progress. It is very necessary to continue this meeting in future as well.
* Although the network renders busy tasks to members, but it is a good learning process and experience for members to build capacity.
* Recommendation
* The chair (Cambodian RC) should send a letter to all NS SGs to call members to rejoin; coordination team should enhance their role by keeping encouraging members to rejoin/remind them on the schedule and keeping them to share more information.
* Write an article about SEAYN activities; to do that, BKK office should find the solutions, opportunities how to precede it.
* If internet problems or other technical problems, it is better to ask all IFRC country offices (which have good internet connection) to support NSs members to join in the meeting through their internet or IT.
* Coordination Team and Chair have to share about the progress and commitment of the Network in different platforms, especially through leadership and managers forums or meetings.
 | KumJuAll  | CT and Kosal KumjuKumjuCT and Kosal |
| 5 | Next online meeting:April 2014 (date to be confirmed) |  | Kumju |

END